

§4.5 Basis and dimension (cont'd)

(special case $n=3$) Thm 4.9: If $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ is a basis for a vector space V , then every vector $\vec{u}$ in V can be written in one and only one way as

$$\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3.$$

Remark: That is, once we have settled on a basis S for a vector space V , and given $\vec{u}$ in V , there is one and only one set of scalars c_1, c_2, c_3 such that $\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3$.

We will soon call c_1, c_2, c_3 the "coordinates of the $\vec{u}$ with respect to the basis S for V ."

proof ^(for $n=3$)
(part 1): Since S spans V and $\vec{u} \in V$, we know that

$$\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3$$

because S is a spanning set for V , so there is one way...

(part 2): Why is there only one way to write $\vec{u}$ as a linear combination of vectors in S ? Suppose that

$$\vec{u} = c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3 \quad \text{for scalars } c_1, c_2, c_3$$

$$\text{but also } \vec{u} = b_1 \vec{v}_1 + b_2 \vec{v}_2 + b_3 \vec{v}_3 \quad \text{for } b_1, b_2, b_3.$$

This would say,

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{0} &= \vec{u} - \vec{u} = (c_1 \vec{v}_1 + c_2 \vec{v}_2 + c_3 \vec{v}_3) - (b_1 \vec{v}_1 + b_2 \vec{v}_2 + b_3 \vec{v}_3) \\ &= (c_1 - b_1) \vec{v}_1 + (c_2 - b_2) \vec{v}_2 + (c_3 - b_3) \vec{v}_3 \end{aligned}$$

Because S is linearly independent, the only solution is the trivial solution, so $c_1 - b_1 = 0$, $c_2 - b_2 = 0$, $c_3 - b_3 = 0$ so $c_1 = b_1$, $c_2 = b_2$, and $c_3 = b_3$. $\blacksquare$

ex: In $P_2 = \text{deg. 2 or less polynomials}$
 with $S = \{1, x, x^2\} = \text{std. basis}$.

Suppose $\vec{u} = 5 + 7x - 3x^2$. Here

if $c_1 = 5$, $c_2 = 7$, $c_3 = -3$

then $\vec{u} = c_1 \cdot 1 + c_2 x + c_3 x^2$ is unique
 representation of $\vec{u}$ as a linear combination of $1, x, x^2$.

Theorem 4.10: If $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a
 basis for a vector space V , then every set
 containing more than n vectors must be
linearly dependent.

Heart of the proof: When you have a linear system, homogeneous,
 with more variables than equations, there
 must be a nontrivial solution. Reason: In the
 r.ref. of a matrix with more columns than
 rows, there must be a column without a
 leading 1.

ex: #24) $P_2 = \text{quadratic or less polynomials}$, has a basis
 with three elements, $\{1, x, x^2\} = \text{standard basis}$

If $S = \{2, x, 3+x, 3x^2\}$

by this theorem, simply because $4 > 3$,

we can say that S must be linearly dependent.

Remark: But we will not use this theorem, but
 will instead show "the hard way" that
 S is linearly dependent. (This will give
 insight into how the proof works.)

(3)

#24 (cont'd) "the hard way," using notation like that of the proof of Theorem 4.10.

$$\text{Let } \vec{v}_1 = 1, \vec{v}_2 = x, \vec{v}_3 = x^2$$

So that $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$ = a basis for P_2 .

$$\text{Let } \vec{u}_1 = 2, \vec{u}_2 = x, \vec{u}_3 = 3+x, \vec{u}_4 = 3x^2.$$

That is (by Thm 4.9)

$$\vec{u}_1 = 2\vec{v}_1 + 0\vec{v}_2 + 0\vec{v}_3 = (2)1 + 0x + 0x^2$$

$$\vec{u}_2 = 0\vec{v}_1 + 1\vec{v}_2 + 0\vec{v}_3 = (0)1 + 1x + 0x^2$$

$$\vec{u}_3 = 3\vec{v}_1 + 1\vec{v}_2 + 0\vec{v}_3 = (3)1 + 1x + 0x^2$$

$$\vec{u}_4 = 0\vec{v}_1 + 0\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3 = (0)1 + 0x + 3x^2$$

Is S linear independent, or not? Are there scalars k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4

Such that: $k_1\vec{u}_1 + k_2\vec{u}_2 + k_3\vec{u}_3 + k_4\vec{u}_4 = \vec{0}$?

That is:

$$\begin{aligned} & k_1 (2\vec{v}_1 + 0\vec{v}_2 + 0\vec{v}_3) \\ & + k_2 (0\vec{v}_1 + 1\vec{v}_2 + 0\vec{v}_3) \\ & + k_3 (3\vec{v}_1 + 1\vec{v}_2 + 0\vec{v}_3) \\ & + k_4 (0\vec{v}_1 + 0\vec{v}_2 + 3\vec{v}_3) = 0\vec{v}_1 + 0\vec{v}_2 + 0\vec{v}_3 \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of the representations as a linear combination (Thm 4.9),

This says:

$$\begin{aligned} 2k_1 + 0k_2 + 3k_3 + 0k_4 &= 0 & (\vec{v}_1 \text{ coefficients}) \\ 0k_1 + 1k_2 + 1k_3 + 0k_4 &= 0 & (\vec{v}_2 \text{ "}) \\ 0k_1 + 0k_2 + 0k_3 + 3k_4 &= 0 & (\vec{v}_3 \text{ "}) \end{aligned}$$

coefficient matrix $\cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ so that $\begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \\ k_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2}t \\ -t \\ t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

... is the solution set. If $t=2$, then $\vec{0} = 3\vec{u}_1 - 2\vec{u}_2 + 2\vec{u}_3 + 0\vec{u}_4$
 $= -3(2) - 2(x) + 2(3+x) = 0$

↓ Added after class.

Some similar problems but done, instead, "the easy way,"
i.e. using Theorem 4.10.

§4.5 #12) Why is $S = \{(-1, 2), (1, -2), (2, 4)\}$ NOT a basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Answer: Because $\mathbb{R}^2$ has a basis (the standard basis) with 2 elements, any set of vectors, like S , having 3 or more vectors must be linearly dependent, hence not a basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$.

#22) Why is $S = \{(6, 4, 1), (3, -5, 1), (8, 13, 6), (0, 6, 9)\}$ not a basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$? Because $\mathbb{R}^3$ has a basis with 3 elements in it, and S has 4, and $4 > 3$.

#18) Why is $S = \{(1, 1, 2), (0, 2, 1)\}$ not a basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$?

Suppose S were a basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$. By Theorem 4.10, this would imply that the standard basis $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3\}$ would be linearly dependent (since $3 > 2$), contradicting that the standard basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$ is linearly independent. Hence, S cannot be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Theorem 4.11 "The Number of Vectors in a Basis"

If a vector space V has one basis with n vectors, then every basis for V has n vectors.

proof: Let $S_1 = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be a basis for V , and let

$S_2 = \{\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_m\}$ be any other basis for V .

By Theorem 4.10, if $m > n = \text{number in basis } S_1$, that would imply that S_2 is linearly dependent, contradicting that S_2 is a basis for V , so $m \leq n$.

Likewise by Thm 4.10, if $n > m = \text{number in basis } S_2$, then S_1 would be linearly dependent, contradicting that S_1 is a basis for V , so $n \leq m$.

∴ $n = m$.