

18.07.18

Math 254

Wed 18 July 2018

(1) of 5

4.6 Row space, column space, and nullspace of a matrix

Defn: Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix

- 1) The row space of A is the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$ spanned by the row vectors of A .
- 2) The column space of A is the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^m$ spanned by the column vectors of A .

ex: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\text{row space of } A = \text{span} \{ (0, 1, -1), (-2, 3, 4) \} \\ = \text{a subspace of } \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$\text{column space of } A = \text{span} \{ (0, -2), (1, 3), (-1, 4) \} \\ = \text{a subspace of } \mathbb{R}^2$$

Thm 4.13 "Row equivalent matrices have the same row space"

If an $n \times m$ matrix is row-equivalent to an $n \times m$ matrix B , then the row space of A and of B are equal.

Idea of proof: Because rows of B are linear combinations of rows of A , every row of B is in row space of A . Now, every linear combination of rows of B will then be a linear combination of rows of A , so $\text{row space}(B) \subseteq \text{row space}(A)$. Likewise, $\text{row space}(A) \subseteq \text{row space}(B)$, $\therefore \text{row space}(A) = \text{row space}(B)$.

(2)

Thm 4.14 "Basis for the Row Space of a Matrix"

If a matrix A is row equivalent to matrix B , where B is in reduced row-echelon form, then the nonzero row vectors of B form a basis for the row space of A .

ex: #10) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 5 & 10 & 6 \\ 8 & -7 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\text{by T1-84}]{\text{ref}}$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4/5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $\vec{w}_1 = (1, 0, 4/5)$, $\vec{w}_2 = (0, 1, 1/5)$

then if $S = \{ \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \}$ then S is a basis for the row space of A . That is:

(1) These two nonzero rows of B are linearly independent.

and (2) $\text{span}(\text{rows of } A) = \text{span}(\text{nonzero rows of } B)$, by theorem 4.13, since A and B are row equivalent.

Defn: The row rank of a matrix $A = \dim(\text{row space of } A)$
 $=$ number of leading 1s of the red. row echelon form of A .

In this example: row rank = 2,

(3)

ex : (How to find a basis for the column space of A)

Method 1 : Take the transpose of A , then find a basis for the row space of A^T .

Use A from the previous example :

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 8 \\ -3 & 10 & -7 \\ 1 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{row}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 23/7 \\ 0 & 1 & 2/7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

a basis for column space of A

$$= \left\{ \left(1, 0, \frac{23}{7} \right), \left(0, 1, \frac{2}{7} \right) \right\}$$

Method 2 : A is row equivalent to

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4/5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ask : which columns have a leading 1?

Answer: 1st and 2nd.

Take the corresponding columns of A :

$$S = \{ (2, 5, 8), (-3, 10, -7) \}$$

then S will be a basis for the column space of A .

[Why? we'll see.]

↓ Added after class.

Why "Method 2" works to find a basis for the column space of A .

The main idea: If A and B are matrices which are row equivalent, then linear dependency relationships among rows of A are the same as those of B .

For instance, since (in the example)

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4/5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad 5 \begin{pmatrix} 3^{\text{rd}} \\ \text{column} \\ \text{of } B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ = 4 \begin{pmatrix} 1^{\text{st}} \\ \text{column} \\ \text{of } B \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{\text{nd}} \\ \text{column} \\ \text{of } B \end{pmatrix}$$

the same linear relationship will hold for the corresponding rows of A :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 5 & 10 & 6 \\ 8 & -7 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad 5 \begin{pmatrix} 3^{\text{rd}} \\ \text{column} \\ \text{of } A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 30 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 10 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix} \\ = 4 \begin{pmatrix} 1^{\text{st}} \\ \text{column} \\ \text{of } A \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} 2^{\text{nd}} \\ \text{column} \\ \text{of } A \end{pmatrix}$$

This is not a coincidence, of course. Here is why.

Denote the columns of B as $\vec{B}_1, \vec{B}_2$, and $\vec{B}_3$. The relationship among the columns of B can be written as $5\vec{B}_1 + 5\vec{B}_2 - \vec{B}_3 = \vec{0}$,

or in matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{B}_1 & \vec{B}_2 & \vec{B}_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = B \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

If we apply an elementary row operation to B , that can be effected by multiplying on the left by an elementary matrix E_1 :

so that

$$E_1 B \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = E_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = E_1 \vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

(5)
of 5

After a second elementary row operation, we would have

$$(E_2 E_1) B \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = (E_2 E_1) \vec{0} = \vec{0}$$

Then $(E_3 E_2 E_1) B \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = (E_3 E_2 E_1) \vec{0} = \vec{0}$

After k elementary row operations, so that $(E_k \cdots E_2 E_1) B = A$, we get that

$$A \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = (E_k \cdots E_2 E_1) B \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = (E_k \cdots E_2 E_1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$$

That is, if the columns of A are $\vec{A}_1$, $\vec{A}_2$, and $\vec{A}_3$

the statement that $A \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = [\vec{A}_1 | \vec{A}_2 | \vec{A}_3] \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0}$

is just that statement that $5\vec{A}_1 + 5\vec{A}_2 - \vec{A}_3 = \vec{0}$, which is the same linear relationship $5\vec{B}_1 + 5\vec{B}_2 - \vec{B}_3 = \vec{0}$, that holds for the columns of B .